## SCHOOL REPORT CARD

## for the 2010-2011 school year



## Camargo Elementary School

Mr. Larry Dixon, Principa
4307 Camargo Rd
Mt Sterling, KY 40353
Phone: (859) 497-8776, Fax: (859) 497-9730
Email: larry.dixon@montgomery.kyschools.us
School Enrollment: 667

|  | Our School Council |
| :---: | :---: |
| Larry Dixon | Amy Harmon |
| Sarah Johnson | Donna Mudd |
| Tawny Neal | Kirsten Roberts |

Dear Parents/Guardians: This report card for the 2010-2011 school year contains important information about our school, including the details about our academic performance, teacher qualifications, learning environment, and more. For a more detailed look at our school, please go to http://www.education.ky.gov and select School Report Cards on the blue navigation bar to the left of the homepage.

About Our School: Montgomery County Schools believe in valuing each child as a person. Camargo Elementary serves students in preschool through fifth grade and has a population of approximately 700 students. "Total Commitment to High Student Achievement" is the mission statement at Camargo Elementary. This attitude is reflected in our classrooms and permeates the school culture. A caring staff that is dedicated to being the best is guided by values such as teamwork, leadership and trust. They work collaboratively utilizing processes, best practices and support systems which enable the school to work in partnership with the home and community to close achievement gaps and improve student achievement. Key aspects at CES are: making connections with students, a professional, dedicated staff that strives for improvement and partnerships between school, home and community.

How We Ensure Educational Equity: Camargo Elementary is on a journey to success. We focus our work on the following questions: 1. What is it we want our students to learn? 2. How will we know if each student has learned it? 3. How will we respond when some students don't learn it? 4. How can we extend and enrich for students who have demonstrated being distinguished? It is by answering these questions that we ensure educational equity. To support equity, we have utilized specific strategies to meet the variety of student needs. Some examples include formative assessment to identify achievement gaps, among ethnic, gender, or economic populations: professional development and services of curriculum resource personnel to assist staff in differentiation strategies and learning styles of students. CES offers assistance through diverse intervention programs where individual needs or barriers are targeted by caring adults. This ensures educational equity, and adds value to each student as a learner.

## School NCLB Accountability Reporting Annual Yearly Progress

| Student Group | Reading |  |  |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  | Science <br> Percent Tested |  |  | Other Academic Indicators |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Perc | oal $95 \%$ |  | Percent Proficient \& Distinquished Goal 80.23\% |  |  | Percent Tested <br> Goal 95\% |  |  | Percent Proficient \& Distinquished <br> Goal 70.92\% |  |  |  |  |  | Proficient \& Distinquished \% (Novice \%) Elem. And Middle |  |  | Graduation Rate (High School) <br> Goal 86.75\% |  |  |
|  | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| All Students | 100 | 100 | 99.91 | 69.8 | 67.66 | 70.98 | 100 | 100 | 99.91 | 67.79 | 61.66 | 64.21 | 100 | 100 | 99.88 | $\begin{aligned} & 57.98 \\ & (9.63) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.94 \\ & (9.11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.00 \\ & (7.84) \end{aligned}$ |  | 68.28 | 76.68 |
| African-American |  |  | 99.75 |  |  | 53.93 |  |  | 99.75 |  |  | 45.32 |  |  | 99.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian/ Native Alaskan |  |  | 99.83 |  |  | 71.29 |  |  | 99.83 |  |  | 60.45 |  |  | 99.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian/Pacific Islander |  |  | 99.87 |  |  | 78.79 |  |  | 99.87 |  |  | 81.05 |  |  | 99.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic |  |  | 99.87 |  |  | 66.34 |  |  | 99.87 |  |  | 60.64 |  |  | 99.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 100 | 100 | 99.93 | 69.86 | 68.13 | 74.59 | 100 | 100 | 99.93 | 68.49 | 62.32 | 68.79 | 100 | 100 | 99.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities |  | 100 | 99.87 |  | 40.34 | 45.5 |  | 100 | 99.87 |  | 40.69 | 42.25 |  | 100 | 99.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Limited English Proficiency |  |  | 99.72 |  |  | 45.72 |  |  | 99.72 |  |  | 46.03 |  |  | 99.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 100 | 100 | 99.89 | 62.73 | 58.53 | 63.43 | 100 | 100 | 99.89 | 60.91 | 52.11 | 57.13 | 100 | 100 | 99.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migrant |  |  | 99.82 |  |  | 54.37 |  |  | 99.82 |  |  | 53.65 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 100 | 100 | 99.9 | 65.81 | 61.46 | 66.64 | 100 | 100 | 99.9 | 66.45 | 59.39 | 64.76 |  | 100 | 99.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 100 | 100 | 99.92 | 74.13 | 74.33 | 77.65 | 100 | 100 | 99.92 | 69.23 | 64.13 | 67.29 |  | 100 | 99.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Testing Year | Adequate Yearly Progress <br> (AYP) Summary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Mathematics | Overall AYP |
| 2001-02 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2002-03 | Y | Y | Y |
| 2003-04 | Y | Y | Y |
| 2004-05 | Y | Y | Y |
| 2005-06 | Y | Y | Y |
| 2006-07 | Y | Y | Y |
| 2007-08 | N | Y | N |
| 2008-09 | Y | Y | Y |
| 2009-10 | N | Y | N |
| 2010-11 | N | N | N |
| 2011-12 |  |  |  |
| 2012-13 |  |  |  |
| 2013-14 |  |  |  |


| School <br> Year$\quad$ Title I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| $2003-04$ | Y |  |
| $2004-05$ | Y |  |
| $2005-06$ | Y |  |
| $2006-07$ | Y |  |
| $2007-08$ | Y |  |
| $2008-09$ | Y |  |
| $2009-10$ | Y |  |
| $2010-11$ | Y |  |
| $2011-12$ | Y |  |
| $2012-13$ |  |  |
| $2013-14$ |  |  |
| $2014-15$ |  |  |

## NCLB Consequences (Title I)

- School Improvement - Yr 1 (2 years not making AYP): Notify parents, implement school choice, and write or revise school plan.
- School Improvement - Yr 2 (3 years not making AYP): Notify parents, continue school choice, revise school plan, and offer supplemental services.
- Corrective Action - Yr 1 (4 years not making AYP): Notify parents, continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, and implement corrective action.
- Corrective Action - Yr 2 (5 years not making AYP): Notify parents, continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action and write a plan for Alternative Governance.
- Restructuring (6 years not making AYP): Notify parents, continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action and implement Alternative Governance Plan.


## State Assistance (Non-Title I)

Senate Bill I, signed into law in March 2009, requires state accountability for Non-Title I schools. If a non-Title I school does not make AYP in the same content area for two consecutive years, the school will be eligible for state assistance. The description "Eligible for State Assistance" will appear in the Consequences, School Status column, followed by the number "1" or " 2 " indicating the number of years eligible.

## How We Are Doing

Kentucky's Interim School Testing and Accountability System has three parts: the Kentucky Core Content Tests (KCCT); readiness tests by grade level; and other measures of a school's performance, including attendance, retention and dropout rates. This interim system will be replaced in the 2011-12 school year by a new testing and accountability system structured as required by statute. The goal is that, by 2014, nearly all students will score proficient or distinguished in every subject area tested.

School Assessment
Grade 3 - Reading
2010-2011

| Student Group | School Year | School Percent |  |  |  |  | School Percent Proficient and Distinquished | District Percent Proficient and Distinquished | State Percent <br> Proficient and Distinquished |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tested Students | Novice <br> Students | Apprentice Students | Proficient Students | Distinquished Students |  |  |  |
| All Students | 2009-2010 | 100 | 8 | 20 | 64 | 8 | 72.22 | 80.52 | 76.74 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 7 | 12 | 65 | 16 | 81 | 82.08 | 80.53 |
| African-American | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 58.8 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 70 | 63.06 |
| American Indian/ Native Alaskan | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55.93 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76.47 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 81.29 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 86.41 |
| Hispanic | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 64.29 | 68.72 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 63.64 | 72.63 |
| White | 2009-2010 | 100 | 8 | 19 | 65 | 8 | 73.03 | 81.39 | 79.54 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 7 | 12 | 65 | 15 | 80.41 | 83.39 | 83.38 |
| Students with Disabilities | 2009-2010 | 100 | 5 | 30 | 55 | 10 | 65 | 62.75 | 60.02 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 41 | 24 | 29 | 6 | 35.29 | 51.16 | 62.53 |
| Limited English Proficiency | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 57.41 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 65.44 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2009-2010 | 100 | 11 | 21 | 65 | 3 | 67.74 | 74.09 | 69.58 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 10 | 15 | 59 | 16 | 75.34 | 73.47 | 74.18 |
| Migrant | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 66.48 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 72.04 |
| Male | 2009-2010 | 100 | 7 | 16 | 71 | 7 | 77.78 | 82.63 | 74.17 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 10 | 16 | 63 | 10 | 73.47 | 78.21 | 78.45 |
| Female | 2009-2010 | 100 | 9 | 24 | 58 | 9 | 66.67 | 78.53 | 79.46 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 4 | 8 | 67 | 22 | 88.24 | 85.8 | 82.74 |

School Assessment
Grade 4 - Reading
2010-2011

| Student Group | School Year | School Percent |  |  |  |  | School Percent Proficient and Distinquished | District Percent Proficient and Distinquished | State Percent <br> Proficient and Distinquished |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tested Students | Novice Students | Apprentice Students | Proficient Students | Distinquished Students |  |  |  |
| All Students | 2009-2010 | 100 | 5 | 16 | 62 | 17 | 79 | 81.45 | 79.34 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 3 | 31 | 54 | 11 | 65.17 | 72.67 | 73.5 |
| African-American | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 62.26 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63.64 | 53.63 |
| American Indian/ Native Alaskan | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74.07 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69.35 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85.02 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 80.74 |
| Hispanic | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 74.97 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 70.59 | 67.15 |
| White | 2009-2010 | 100 | 5 | 16 | 61 | 17 | 78.57 | 81.48 | 81.76 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 3 | 31 | 55 | 11 | 65.91 | 73.4 | 76.43 |
| Students with Disabilities | 2009-2010 | 100 | 19 | 13 | 50 | 19 | 68.75 | 68.89 | 63.22 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 0 | 20 | 70 | 10 | 80 | 65.52 | 54.02 |
| Limited English Proficiency | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 63.19 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 49.38 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2009-2010 | 100 | 6 | 18 | 65 | 10 | 75.32 | 74.61 | 72.89 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 5 | 38 | 48 | 10 | 57.14 | 63.78 | 65.78 |
| Migrant | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 69.59 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 50 |
| Male | 2009-2010 | 100 | 4 | 18 | 70 | 9 | 78.57 | 75.27 | 75.45 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 5 | 25 | 52 | 18 | 70.45 | 73.37 | 69.72 |
| Female | 2009-2010 | 100 | 7 | 14 | 52 | 27 | 79.55 | 88.68 | 83.49 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 2 | 38 | 56 | 4 | 60 | 72 | 77.51 |

School Assessment
Grade 5 - Reading
2010-2011

| Student Group | School Year | School Percent |  |  |  |  | School Percent Proficient and Distinquished | District Percent Proficient and Distinquished | State Percent <br> Proficient and <br> Distinquished |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tested Students | Novice Students | Apprentice Students | Proficient <br> Students | Distinquished Students |  |  |  |
| All Students | 2009-2010 | 100 | 6 | 28 | 52 | 14 | 66.09 | 72.8 | 74.36 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 12 | 25 | 51 | 12 | 63.3 | 77.21 | 74.08 |
| African-American | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 58.19 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 57.52 |
| American Indian/ Native Alaskan | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73.44 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75.29 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80.87 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81.78 |
| Hispanic | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 68.43 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91.67 | 69.6 |
| White | 2009-2010 | 100 | 6 | 27 | 53 | 14 | 67.26 | 73.2 | 76.62 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 12 | 24 | 51 | 12 | 63.55 | 76.83 | 76.45 |
| Students with Disabilities | 2009-2010 | 100 | 16 | 53 | 32 | 0 | 31.58 | 48.72 | 54.01 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 45 | 18 | 27 | 9 | 36.36 | 54.29 | 49.75 |
| Limited English Proficiency | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 50.74 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 51.08 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2009-2010 | 100 | 8 | 32 | 49 | 11 | 60 | 66.51 | 66.71 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 14 | 30 | 48 | 8 | 55.95 | 68.97 | 65.96 |
| Migrant | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 62.36 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 58.97 |
| Male | 2009-2010 | 100 | 6 | 31 | 53 | 10 | 62.9 | 64.06 | 69.38 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 13 | 31 | 52 | 5 | 56.45 | 71.2 | 68.25 |
| Female | 2009-2010 | 100 | 6 | 25 | 51 | 19 | 69.81 | 82.56 | 79.62 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 11 | 17 | 51 | 21 | 72.34 | 84.38 | 80.27 |

School Assessment
Grade 3 - Mathematics

| Student Group | School Year | School Percent |  |  |  |  | School Percent Proficient and Distinquished | District Percent Proficient and Distinquished | State Percent <br> Proficient and Distinquished |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tested Students | Novice Students | Apprentice Students | Proficient Students | Distinquished Students |  |  |  |
| All Students | 2009-2010 | 100 | 9 | 21 | 38 | 32 | 70 | 77.62 | 76.31 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 4 | 10 | 54 | 32 | 86 | 78.93 | 77.69 |
| African-American | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 56.53 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 70 | 60 |
| American Indian/ Native Alaskan | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59.32 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70.59 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 85.76 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 89.08 |
| Hispanic | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 64.29 | 69.57 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 72.73 | 70.9 |
| White | 2009-2010 | 100 | 8 | 21 | 38 | 33 | 70.79 | 78.55 | 79.23 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 4 | 9 | 55 | 32 | 86.6 | 79.66 | 80.49 |
| Students with Disabilities | 2009-2010 | 100 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 55 | 56.86 | 55.86 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 18 | 41 | 18 | 24 | 41.18 | 53.49 | 56.36 |
| Limited English Proficiency | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 62.36 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 65.2 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2009-2010 | 100 | 13 | 24 | 42 | 21 | 62.9 | 68.39 | 69.29 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 5 | 14 | 52 | 29 | 80.82 | 69.9 | 70.5 |
| Migrant | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 68.13 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 74.19 |
| Male | 2009-2010 | 100 | 9 | 20 | 27 | 44 | 71.11 | 81.44 | 75.68 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 4 | 14 | 61 | 20 | 81.63 | 76.28 | 77.73 |
| Female | 2009-2010 | 100 | 9 | 22 | 49 | 20 | 68.89 | 74.01 | 76.97 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 4 | 6 | 47 | 43 | 90.2 | 81.48 | 77.65 |

School Assessment
Grade 4 - Mathematics
2010-2011

| Student Group | School Year | School Percent |  |  |  |  | School Percent <br> Proficient and Distinquished | District Percent <br> Proficient and Distinquished | State Percent <br> Proficient and Distinquished |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tested <br> Students | Novice <br> Students | Apprentice Students | Proficient <br> Students | Distinquished Students |  |  |  |
| All Students | 2009-2010 | 100 | 13 | 18 | 39 | 30 | 69 | 74.2 | 74.88 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 11 | 25 | 39 | 25 | 64.04 | 72.09 | 74.74 |
| African-American | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 53.2 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54.55 | 57.68 |
| American Indian/ Native Alaskan | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70.37 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62.9 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85.33 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 85.66 |
| Hispanic | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 67.57 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 52.94 | 68.71 |
| White | 2009-2010 | 100 | 12 | 17 | 40 | 31 | 70.41 | 75.31 | 77.99 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 11 | 24 | 40 | 25 | 64.77 | 74.04 | 77.23 |
| Students with Disabilities | 2009-2010 | 100 | 31 | 19 | 25 | 25 | 50 | 64.44 | 55.02 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 10 | 30 | 50 | 10 | 60 | 58.62 | 52.7 |
| Limited English Proficiency | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 56.83 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 54.67 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2009-2010 | 100 | 16 | 22 | 42 | 21 | 62.34 | 65.28 | 67.09 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 16 | 29 | 41 | 14 | 55.56 | 61.22 | 67.38 |
| Migrant | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 58.11 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 59 |
| Male | 2009-2010 | 100 | 13 | 18 | 43 | 27 | 69.64 | 71.51 | 74.55 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 9 | 20 | 39 | 32 | 70.45 | 73.96 | 74.08 |
| Female | 2009-2010 | 100 | 14 | 18 | 34 | 34 | 68.18 | 77.36 | 75.24 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 13 | 29 | 40 | 18 | 57.78 | 70.29 | 75.45 |

School Assessment
Grade 5 - Mathematics
2010-2011

| Student Group | School Year | School Percent |  |  |  |  | School Percent Proficient and Distinquished | District Percent Proficient and Distinquished | State Percent <br> Proficient and Distinquished |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tested Students | Novice Students | Apprentice Students | Proficient Students | Distinquished Students |  |  |  |
| All Students | 2009-2010 | 100 | 11 | 30 | 34 | 25 | 59.13 | 66.48 | 67.57 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 18 | 28 | 35 | 19 | 54.13 | 65.53 | 65.92 |
| African-American | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 46.43 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 45.32 |
| American Indian/ Native Alaskan | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.94 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62.35 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83.39 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77.4 |
| Hispanic | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 59.87 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 60.16 |
| White | 2009-2010 | 100 | 12 | 29 | 34 | 26 | 59.29 | 66.28 | 70.47 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 17 | 28 | 36 | 20 | 55.14 | 66.46 | 68.84 |
| Students with Disabilities | 2009-2010 | 100 | 37 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 31.58 | 46.15 | 45.31 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 45 | 36 | 18 | 0 | 18.18 | 51.43 | 43.34 |
| Limited English Proficiency | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 44.01 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 44.9 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2009-2010 | 100 | 14 | 34 | 29 | 22 | 51.76 | 57.08 | 58.25 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 20 | 32 | 37 | 11 | 47.62 | 55.67 | 56.51 |
| Migrant | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 54.49 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 60.26 |
| Male | 2009-2010 | 100 | 10 | 32 | 31 | 27 | 58.06 | 63.02 | 66.75 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 21 | 27 | 32 | 19 | 51.61 | 63.35 | 64.82 |
| Female | 2009-2010 | 100 | 13 | 26 | 38 | 23 | 60.38 | 70.35 | 68.45 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 15 | 28 | 38 | 19 | 57.45 | 68.13 | 67.09 |

School Assessment
Grade 4 - Science
2010-2011

| Student Group | School Year | School Percent |  |  |  |  | School Percent <br> Proficient and Distinquished | District Percent Proficient and Distinquished | State Percent <br> Proficient and Distinquished |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tested <br> Students | Novice <br> Students | Apprentice Students | Proficient <br> Students | Distinquished Students |  |  |  |
| All Students | 2009-2010 | 100 | 2 | 24 | 39 | 35 | 74 | 70.14 | 70.42 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 7 | 24 | 40 | 29 | 69.66 | 74.13 | 70.53 |
| African-American | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 44.74 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54.55 | 44.13 |
| American Indian/ Native Alaskan | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71.6 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61.29 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74.74 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 73.22 |
| Hispanic | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 59.69 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 70.59 | 59.75 |
| White | 2009-2010 | 100 | 2 | 22 | 40 | 36 | 75.51 | 71.3 | 74.35 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 7 | 23 | 41 | 30 | 70.45 | 75.64 | 74.71 |
| Students with Disabilities | 2009-2010 | 100 | 6 | 38 | 25 | 31 | 56.25 | 53.33 | 53.12 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 30 | 70 | 62.07 | 50.26 |
| Limited English Proficiency | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 45.46 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 40.75 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2009-2010 | 100 | 3 | 27 | 44 | 26 | 70.13 | 62.18 | 61.69 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 10 | 27 | 43 | 21 | 63.49 | 63.27 | 61.53 |
| Migrant | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 50.68 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 54 |
| Male | 2009-2010 | 100 | 2 | 25 | 41 | 32 | 73.21 | 68.28 | 71.04 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 7 | 18 | 36 | 39 | 75 | 78.11 | 70.94 |
| Female | 2009-2010 | 100 | 2 | 23 | 36 | 39 | 75 | 72.33 | 69.76 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 7 | 29 | 44 | 20 | 64.44 | 70.29 | 70.1 |

School Assessment Grade 5 - Social Studies

| Student Group | School Year | School Percent |  |  |  |  | School Percent Proficient and Distinquished | District Percent <br> Proficient and Distinquished | State Percent Proficient and Distinquished |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tested Students | Novice Students | Apprentice Students | Proficient <br> Students | Distinquished Students |  |  |  |
| All Students | 2009-2010 | 100 | 11 | 42 | 40 | 7 | 46.96 | 55.49 | 55.59 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 17 | 39 | 36 | 9 | 44.95 | 61.82 | 59.76 |
| African-American | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 33.71 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 37.12 |
| American Indian/ Native Alaskan | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45.31 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56.47 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66.78 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68.22 |
| Hispanic | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 44.28 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66.67 | 48.95 |
| White | 2009-2010 | 100 | 12 | 41 | 41 | 7 | 47.79 | 56.2 | 58.84 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 16 | 38 | 36 | 9 | 45.79 | 62.2 | 63.23 |
| Students with Disabilities | 2009-2010 | 100 | 32 | 53 | 11 | 5 | 15.79 | 38.46 | 35.7 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 36 | 55 | 0 | 9 | 9.09 | 45.71 | 38.18 |
| Limited English Proficiency | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 26.91 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 29.41 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2009-2010 | 100 | 15 | 42 | 40 | 2 | 42.35 | 43.87 | 44.71 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 19 | 44 | 30 | 7 | 36.9 | 51.23 | 48.96 |
| Migrant | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 43.26 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 37.18 |
| Male | 2009-2010 | 100 | 10 | 39 | 47 | 5 | 51.61 | 56.25 | 56.55 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 18 | 42 | 34 | 6 | 40.32 | 62.3 | 59.54 |
| Female | 2009-2010 | 100 | 13 | 45 | 32 | 9 | 41.51 | 54.65 | 54.58 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 15 | 34 | 38 | 13 | 51.06 | 61.25 | 59.99 |

School Assessment
Grade 5 - Writing On-Demand

| Student Group | School Year | School Percent |  |  |  |  | School Percent <br> Proficient and Distinquished | District Percent Proficient and Distinquished | State Percent <br> Proficient and Distinquished |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tested <br> Students | Novice Students | Apprentice Students | Proficient <br> Students | Distinquished Students |  |  |  |
| All Students | 2009-2010 | 100 | 3 | 39 | 50 | 7 | 57.39 | 63.74 | 59.08 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 6 | 33 | 50 | 12 | 61.47 | 69.8 | 59.74 |
| African-American | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 45.47 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 43.63 |
| American Indian/ Native Alaskan | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53.13 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55.29 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70.3 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75.42 |
| Hispanic | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 51.57 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83.33 | 52.93 |
| White | 2009-2010 | 100 | 3 | 40 | 50 | 7 | 57.52 | 63.98 | 61.05 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 5 | 33 | 50 | 12 | 62.62 | 69.82 | 62.02 |
| Students with Disabilities | 2009-2010 | 100 | 16 | 74 | 5 | 5 | 10.53 | 35.9 | 34.13 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 36 | 36 | 27 | 0 | 27.27 | 37.14 | 33.56 |
| Limited English Proficiency | 2009-2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 34.66 |
|  | 2010-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 34.73 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2009-2010 | 100 | 5 | 42 | 49 | 4 | 52.94 | 54.72 | 49.94 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 6 | 38 | 45 | 11 | 55.95 | 64.53 | 50.3 |
| Migrant | 2009-2010 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 39.33 |
|  | 2010-2011 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 42.31 |
| Male | 2009-2010 | 100 | 3 | 48 | 40 | 8 | 48.39 | 51.04 | 49.96 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 5 | 42 | 48 | 5 | 53.23 | 60.73 | 50.59 |
| Female | 2009-2010 | 100 | 4 | 28 | 62 | 6 | 67.92 | 77.91 | 68.72 |
|  | 2010-2011 | 100 | 6 | 21 | 51 | 21 | 72.34 | 80.63 | 69.46 |

Norm-Referenced Test: The ITBS scores are not part of state or federal accountability. The assessment is for diagnostic purposes only. The school and district scores are reported publicly using national percentiles (Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score: National Student Norms). Each national percentile shows a student's performance compared to other students who took the assessment at the same grade level and same time period-fall, mid-spring, or spring as compared to the 2005 norming group.

Norm-Referenced Test (NRT) Iowa Tests of Basic Skills

Scores Reported in National Percentiles

| Reading |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | School | District | State | Grade | School | District | State |
| 3 | 63 | 64 | 61 | 3 | 59 | 60 | 61 |
| 4 | 55 | 60 | 57 | 4 | 49 | 58 | 57 |
| 5 | 49 | 59 | 54 | 5 | 44 | 53 | 54 |
| 6 |  | 46 | 47 | 6 |  | 49 | 45 |
| 7 |  | 44 | 51 | 7 |  | 42 | 48 |

Other Measures: The third component of the Kentucky Testing System is our school's performance in attendance, retention*, dropout rate, and for successful transition to adult life. Data in these tables reflect our performance during the 2009-2010 school year.

* The percent of students who had to repeat the grade.

|  | Attendance <br> Rate | Retention <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School | $93.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| District | $93.1 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| State | $94.1 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | (NAEP) is also known as "the Nation's Report Card". NAEP has been conducting assessments since 1969 and is the only national assessment of what "America's students know and can do" in various subject areas. NAEP does not report scores for individual students or schools. NAEP bases its results on a sample of students and provides data at the state and national level.


| NAEP Achievement Level Percentages |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 | Below <br> Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Reading | $32 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $21 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $3 \%$ |


| NAEP Participation Rates <br> Students with <br> Disabilities |  |  |  | English Language <br> Learners |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $52 \%$ | $54 \%$ |  |  |
| Mathematics | $42 \%$ | $65 \%$ |  |  |

# Our Learning Environment 

School Safety: Here is what we are doing to make our school safe for our students.

| Visitors are <br> Required to Sign in | All Parents Received the <br> District Discipline Code | \% Classrooms with <br> Outside Line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y | Y | $100 \%$ |


| Violation | \# of Reported <br> Incidents | \# of Students <br> Suspended | \# of Students <br> Expelled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Degree Assault | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Drug Violation | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Weapons Violations | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Procedures in Place In Our School for Drug and Weapons Detection:

Camargo considers safety to be a top priority. Doors remain locked and visitors enter and sign in through the main office. Video surveillance is in place. Staff are trained and provided an Emergency Response Guide. Drills are con- ducted regularly to be prepared in the event of an emergency. We rely on all stakeholders to report any suspicious activity which is investigated immediately. Parents and law enforcement officers are notified if deemed necessary. A teacher or other school personnel who knows or has reason to believe drugs or weapons may be present in the school building shall notify the principal or designee who will contact the proper authorities. The principal will iso;late the individual(s) until the officials arrive.

Student Resources

|  | Spending per <br> Student | Student/ <br> Teacher <br> Ratio | \% Computers <br> 5 Years Old or <br> Less | Students per Internet <br> Connected Computer |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Our School | $\$ 6,601$ | $15.0: 1$ | $91.8 \%$ | $2.5: 1$ |
| District | $\$ 8,704$ | $15.0: 1$ | $85.5 \%$ | $2.3: 1$ |
| State | $\$ 10,864$ | $16.0: 1$ | $77.4 \%$ | $2.4: 1$ |

## How We Use Technology To Teach:

All students use the computer lab weekly. Accelerated Reader is available for all students. Accelerated Grammar and Spelling and Study island are used in Grades 3-5. Accelerated Math and Math Facts in a Flash supplement math instruction. All classrooms are intelligent classrooms making use of document cameras, airliners/projectors, audio enhancement, and grades 3-5 have CPS systems. Humanities art classes make use of computer programs to produce computer art, animation, and digital stories. Star Reading and Star Math are utilized to assess students as well as other research programs.

Parental Involvement

|  | \# of Students Whose <br> Parent/Guardian Had <br> at Least One Teacher <br> Conference | \# of Parents/Guardians <br> Voting in School <br> Council (SBDM) <br> Elections | \# of Parents/Guardians <br> Serving on the School <br> Council (SBDM) or its <br> Committees | \# of <br> Volunteer <br> Hours |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Our School | 300 | 20 | 2 | 6,743 |

## Teacher Qualifications

The preparation and experience of our teachers also is important to our success. These numbers do not include administrators, guidance counselors, or library media specialists. Upon request, our district will provide information about the qualifications of your child's teachers and teachers' aides.


## Other Important Information About Our School

State Contest Results: Camargo takes great pride in the accomplishments of our students. The STLP Team had several entries in state competition. Several students competed at the Kentucky State Fair in 4-H.

Extracurricular Activities: CES offers a variety of activities to encourage student participation. These include: Student Technology Leadership Program (STLP), Academic Team, Quick Recall Team, Future Problem Solving Team, Orchestra, Big Brothers/Big Sisters, Con Brio, Student Council, and Jr. Beta Club

Awards \& Recognitions: CES has fielded extremely competitive and well-coached Academic Team, Future Problem Solving Team and Student Technology Leadership Programs. At the state level, our STLP won the Best School Project. Locally, the Humanities Art Class was recognized as the winning banner to display at the KSBA Conference.

What We Are Doing To Improve: Each year CES analyzes test data scores and develops specific plans to implement Common Assessments, Formative Assessments and Data Notebooks. Our primary goals are to improve student achievement and to be one of the top 10 performing schools in Kentucky. Staff members have participated in many professional development activities and have been involved in job embedded trainings. Formative assessments are given district wide, scored and returned to students.


 and talk with the staff and faculty.


