-Commonwealth of Kentucky-

SCHOOL REPORT CARD

for the 2010-2011 school year



Camargo Elementary School

Mr. Larry Dixon, Principal 4307 Camargo Rd Mt Sterling, KY 40353

Phone: (859) 497-8776, Fax: (859) 497-9730 Email: larry.dixon@montgomery.kyschools.us

School Enrollment: 667

Our School Council

Larry Dixon
Sarah Johnson
Tawny Neal

Amy Harmon
Donna Mudd
Kirsten Roberts

Dear Parents/Guardians: This report card for the 2010-2011 school year contains important information about our school, including the details about our academic performance, teacher qualifications, learning environment, and more. For a more detailed look at our school, please go to http://www.education.ky.gov and select School Report Cards on the blue navigation bar to the left of the homepage.

About Our School: Montgomery County Schools believe in valuing each child as a person. Camargo Elementary serves students in preschool through fifth grade and has a population of approximately 700 students. "Total Commitment to High Student Achievement" is mission statement at Camargo Elementary. This attitude reflected in our classrooms and permeates the school culture. A caring staff that is dedicated to being the best is guided by values such as teamwork, leadership and trust. They work collaboratively utilizing processes, best practices and support systems which enable the school to work in partnership with the home and community to close achievement gaps and improve student achievement. aspects CES are: making connections with students. professional. dedicated staff that strives for improvement and partnerships between school, home and community.

How We Ensure Educational Equity: Camargo Elementary is on a journey to success. We focus our work on the following questions: 1.What is it we want our students to learn? 2. How will we know if each student has learned it? 3. How will we respond when some students don't learn it? 4. How can we extend and enrich for students who have demonstrated being distinguished? answering these questions that we ensure educational equity. To support equity, we have utilized specific strategies to meet the variety of student needs. Some examples include formative assessment to identify achievement gaps, among ethnic, gender, economic populations: professional development and services of curriculum resource personnel to assist staff in differentiation strategies and learning styles of students. CES offers assistance through diverse intervention programs where individual needs or barriers are targeted by caring adults. This ensures educational equity, and adds value to each student as a learner.

School NCLB Accountability Reporting Annual Yearly Progress

			Rea	ding					Mather	natics			s	cience			Other A	cademic	Indicat	ors	
Student Group	Perc	ent Tes	ted		ent Profi stinquis		Per	cent Tes	sted		ent Profic stinquisi		Per	cent Tes	ted	Dist	roficient tinquishe Novice %	d %		duation R gh Schoo	
	_	ioal 95%		Go	oal 80.23	%	C	Goal 95%	6	Go	al 70.92	%					m. And Mi		G	oal 86.75%	%
	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State
All Students	100	100	99.91	69.8	67.66	70.98	100	100	99.91	67.79	61.66	64.21	100	100	99.88	57.98 (9.63)	58.94 (9.11)	60.00 (7.84)		68.28	76.68
African-American			99.75			53.93			99.75			45.32			99.62						
American Indian/ Native Alaskan			99.83			71.29			99.83			60.45			99.49						
Asian/Pacific Islander			99.87			78.79			99.87			81.05			99.79						
Hispanic			99.87			66.34			99.87			60.64			99.86						
White	100	100	99.93	69.86	68.13	74.59	100	100	99.93	68.49	62.32	68.79	100	100	99.92						
Students with Disabilities		100	99.87		40.34	45.5		100	99.87		40.69	42.25		100	99.83						
Limited English Proficiency			99.72			45.72			99.72			46.03			99.66						
Economically Disadvantaged	100	100	99.89	62.73	58.53	63.43	100	100	99.89	60.91	52.11	57.13	100	100	99.85						
Migrant			99.82			54.37			99.82			53.65			100						
Male	100	100	99.9	65.81	61.46	66.64	100	100	99.9	66.45	59.39	64.76		100	99.87						
Female	100	100	99.92	74.13	74.33	77.65	100	100	99.92	69.23	64.13	67.29		100	99.89						

	Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary						
Testing Year	Reading	Mathematics	Overall AYP				
2001-02	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2002-03	Υ	Y	Υ				
2003-04	Υ	Υ	Υ				
2004-05	Υ	Υ	Y				
2005-06	Υ	Υ	Υ				
2006-07	Υ	Υ	Υ				
2007-08	N	Y	Ν				
2008-09	Υ	Υ	Υ				
2009-10	N	Υ	N				
2010-11	N	N	N				
2011-12							
2012-13							
2013-14							

Y=Met the goals N=Did not meet the goals NA=Not applicable
S =Safe Harbor, did not make goal but made significant improvement

		Consequences							
School Year	Title I	School Status							
2002-03									
2003-04	Υ								
2004-05	Υ								
2005-06	Υ								
2006-07	Υ								
2007-08	Υ								
2008-09	Y								
2009-10	Υ								
2010-11	Υ								
2011-12	Υ	School Improvement - Yr 1							
2012-13									
2013-14									
2014-15									

NCLB Consequences (Title I)

- School Improvement Yr 1 (2 years not making AYP): Notify parents, implement school choice, and write or revise school plan.
- **School Improvement Yr 2** (3 years not making AYP): Notify parents, continue school choice, revise school plan, and offer supplemental services.
- **Corrective Action Yr 1** (4 years not making AYP): Notify parents, continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, and implement corrective action.
- **Corrective Action Yr 2** (5 years not making AYP): Notify parents, continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action and write a plan for Alternative Governance.
- **Restructuring** (6 years not making AYP): Notify parents, continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action and implement Alternative Governance Plan.

State Assistance (Non-Title I)

Senate Bill I, signed into law in March 2009, requires state accountability for Non-Title I schools. If a non-Title I school does not make AYP in the same content area for two consecutive years, the school will be eligible for state assistance. The description "Eligible for State Assistance" will appear in the Consequences, School Status column, followed by the number "1" or "2" indicating the number of years eligible.

How We Are Doing

Kentucky's Interim School Testing and Accountability System has three parts: the Kentucky Core Content Tests (KCCT); readiness tests by grade level; and other measures of a school's performance, including attendance, retention and dropout rates. This interim system will be replaced in the 2011-12 school year by a new testing and accountability system structured as required by statute. The goal is that, by 2014, nearly all students will score proficient or distinguished in every subject area tested.

School As	sessmei	nt		Grade	e 3 - Rea		2010-2011		
011.0				School Perc	ent	School Percent	District Percent	State Percent	
Student Group	School Year	Tested Students	Novice Students	Apprentice Students	Proficient Students	Distinquished Students	Proficient and Distinquished	Proficient and Distinquished	Proficient and Distinquished
All Students	2009-2010	100	8	20	64	8	72.22	80.52	76.74
All Students	2010-2011	100	7	12	65	16	81	82.08	80.53
African American	2009-2010								58.8
African-American	2010-2011							70	63.06
American Indian/	2009-2010								55.93
Native Alaskan	2010-2011								76.47
Asian/Pacific	2009-2010								81.29
Islander	2010-2011								86.41
Libraria	2009-2010							64.29	68.72
Hispanic	2010-2011							63.64	72.63
\\/\bito	2009-2010	100	8	19	65	8	73.03	81.39	79.54
White	2010-2011	100	7	12	65	15	80.41	83.39	83.38
Students with	2009-2010	100	5	30	55	10	65	62.75	60.02
Disabilities	2010-2011	100	41	24	29	6	35.29	51.16	62.53
Limited English	2009-2010								57.41
Proficiency	2010-2011								65.44
Economically	2009-2010	100	11	21	65	3	67.74	74.09	69.58
Disadvantaged	2010-2011	100	10	15	59	16	75.34	73.47	74.18
Minusus	2009-2010								66.48
Migrant	2010-2011								72.04
Mala	2009-2010	100	7	16	71	7	77.78	82.63	74.17
Male	2010-2011	100	10	16	63	10	73.47	78.21	78.45
Famala	2009-2010	100	9	24	58	9	66.67	78.53	79.46
Female	2010-2011	100	4	8	67	22	88.24	85.8	82.74

School Assessment Grade 4 - Reading 2010-2011 **School Percent School Percent State Percent District Percent Student Group School Year Proficient and Proficient and Proficient and** Novice **Proficient** Distinguished **Tested Apprentice** Distinguished Distinguished Distinguished **Students** Students **Students** Students **Students** 2009-2010 100 79 81.45 79.34 5 16 62 17 All Students 2010-2011 100 3 31 54 11 65.17 72.67 73.5 2009-2010 62.26 African-American 2010-2011 63.64 53.63 2009-2010 74.07 American Indian/ Native Alaskan 2010-2011 69.35 2009-2010 85.02 Asian/Pacific Islander 2010-2011 80.74 2009-2010 74.97 Hispanic 2010-2011 70.59 67.15 --2009-2010 100 78.57 81.48 81.76 5 16 17 61 White 2010-2011 100 3 11 65.91 73.4 76.43 31 55 2009-2010 100 68.75 68.89 63.22 19 13 50 Students with 19 Disabilities 2010-2011 100 0 65.52 54.02 20 70 10 Limited English 2009-2010 63.19 Proficiency 2010-2011 49.38 2009-2010 100 75.32 72.89 Economically 6 18 65 10 74.61 Disadvantaged 2010-2011 100 5 38 48 10 57.14 63.78 65.78 2009-2010 69.59 Migrant 2010-2011 50 2009-2010 100 18 70 9 78.57 75.27 75.45 4 Male 2010-2011 100 5 18 70.45 73.37 69.72 25 52 2009-2010 100 79.55 88.68 83.49 7 14 52 27 Female 2010-2011 100 2 38 56 4 60 72 77.51

Grade 5 - Reading **School Assessment** 2010-2011 **School Percent School Percent State Percent District Percent Student Group School Year Proficient and Proficient and Proficient and** Novice **Proficient** Distinguished **Tested Apprentice** Distinguished Distinguished Distinguished **Students Students Students** Students **Students** 2009-2010 100 66.09 72.8 74.36 6 28 52 14 All Students 2010-2011 100 12 25 51 12 63.3 77.21 74.08 2009-2010 58.19 --African-American 2010-2011 57.52 2009-2010 73.44 American Indian/ Native Alaskan 2010-2011 75.29 2009-2010 80.87 Asian/Pacific Islander 2010-2011 81.78 2009-2010 68.43 Hispanic 2010-2011 91.67 69.6 2009-2010 100 73.2 76.62 6 27 67.26 53 14 White 2010-2011 100 12 24 12 63.55 76.83 76.45 51 2009-2010 100 31.58 48.72 54.01 16 32 0 Students with 53 Disabilities 2010-2011 100 36.36 54.29 49.75 45 18 27 9 Limited English 2009-2010 50.74 Proficiency 2010-2011 51.08 2009-2010 100 66.71 Economically 8 32 49 11 66.51 Disadvantaged 2010-2011 100 14 30 48 8 55.95 68.97 65.96 2009-2010 62.36 Migrant 2010-2011 58.97 --2009-2010 100 6 31 10 62.9 64.06 69.38 53 Male 2010-2011 100 5 56.45 71.2 68.25 13 31 52 2009-2010 100 82.56 79.62 6 69.81 25 51 19 Female 2010-2011 100 11 17 51 21 72.34 84.38 80.27

School Assessment Grade 3 - Mathematics 2010-2011 **School Percent School Percent State Percent District Percent Student Group School Year Proficient and Proficient and Proficient and Proficient** Distinguished **Tested** Novice **Apprentice** Distinguished Distinguished Distinguished **Students Students Students** Students **Students** 2009-2010 100 70 77.62 76.31 9 21 38 32 All Students 2010-2011 100 4 10 54 32 86 78.93 77.69 2009-2010 56.53 African-American 2010-2011 70 60 2009-2010 59.32 American Indian/ Native Alaskan 2010-2011 70.59 2009-2010 85.76 Asian/Pacific Islander 2010-2011 89.08 2009-2010 64.29 69.57 Hispanic 2010-2011 70.9 72.73 --2009-2010 100 78.55 79.23 8 21 70.79 38 33 White 2010-2011 100 4 9 32 86.6 79.66 80.49 55 2009-2010 100 55 56.86 55.86 20 25 25 Students with 30 Disabilities 2010-2011 100 41.18 53.49 56.36 18 41 18 24 Limited English 2009-2010 62.36 **Proficiency** 2010-2011 65.2 2009-2010 68.39 69.29 Economically 100 13 24 42 21 62.9 Disadvantaged 2010-2011 100 5 14 52 29 80.82 69.9 70.5 2009-2010 68.13 Migrant 2010-2011 74.19 2009-2010 100 9 20 27 71.11 81.44 75.68 44 Male 2010-2011 100 4 81.63 76.28 77.73 14 61 20 2009-2010 100 74.01 76.97 9 68.89 22 49 20 Female 2010-2011 100 4 6 47 43 90.2 81.48 77.65

School Assessment Grade 4 - Mathematics 2010-2011 **School Percent School Percent State Percent District Percent Student Group School Year Proficient and Proficient and Proficient and Proficient** Distinguished **Tested** Novice **Apprentice** Distinguished Distinguished Distinguished **Students Students Students** Students **Students** 2009-2010 100 69 74.2 74.88 13 18 39 30 All Students 2010-2011 100 11 25 39 25 64.04 72.09 74.74 2009-2010 53.2 African-American 2010-2011 54.55 57.68 2009-2010 70.37 American Indian/ Native Alaskan 2010-2011 62.9 2009-2010 85.33 Asian/Pacific Islander 2010-2011 85.66 2009-2010 67.57 Hispanic 2010-2011 52.94 68.71 --2009-2010 100 75.31 77.99 12 40 70.41 17 31 White 2010-2011 100 24 25 64.77 74.04 77.23 11 40 2009-2010 100 50 64.44 55.02 19 25 25 Students with 31 Disabilities 2010-2011 100 60 58.62 52.7 10 30 50 10 Limited English 2009-2010 56.83 **Proficiency** 2010-2011 54.67 2009-2010 65.28 67.09 Economically 100 16 22 42 21 62.34 Disadvantaged 2010-2011 100 16 29 41 14 55.56 61.22 67.38 2009-2010 58.11 Migrant 2010-2011 59 2009-2010 100 18 69.64 71.51 74.55 13 43 27 Male 2010-2011 100 9 70.45 73.96 74.08 20 39 32 2009-2010 100 77.36 75.24 68.18 14 18 34 34 Female 2010-2011 100 13 29 40 18 57.78 70.29 75.45

2010-2011 **School Assessment** Grade 5 - Mathematics **School Percent School Percent State Percent District Percent Student Group School Year Proficient and Proficient and Proficient and Proficient** Distinguished **Tested** Novice **Apprentice** Distinquished Distinguished Distinguished **Students Students Students** Students **Students** 2009-2010 100 59.13 66.48 67.57 11 30 34 25 All Students 2010-2011 100 18 28 35 19 54.13 65.53 65.92 2009-2010 46.43 --African-American 2010-2011 45.32 2009-2010 60.94 American Indian/ Native Alaskan 2010-2011 62.35 2009-2010 83.39 Asian/Pacific Islander 2010-2011 77.4 2009-2010 59.87 Hispanic 2010-2011 50 60.16 2009-2010 100 59.29 66.28 70.47 12 29 34 26 White 2010-2011 100 28 20 55.14 66.46 68.84 17 36 2009-2010 100 31.58 46.15 45.31 37 32 32 0 Students with Disabilities 2010-2011 100 18.18 51.43 43.34 45 36 18 0 Limited English 2009-2010 44.01 **Proficiency** 2010-2011 44.9 2009-2010 100 58.25 Economically 14 34 29 22 51.76 57.08 Disadvantaged 2010-2011 100 20 32 37 11 47.62 55.67 56.51 2009-2010 54.49 Migrant 2010-2011 60.26 --2009-2010 100 10 32 27 58.06 63.02 66.75 31 Male 2010-2011 100 21 51.61 63.35 64.82 27 32 19 2009-2010 100 70.35 68.45 60.38 13 26 38 23 Female 2010-2011 100 15 28 38 19 57.45 68.13 67.09

School Assessment Grade 4 - Science 2010-2011 **School Percent School Percent State Percent District Percent Student Group School Year Proficient and Proficient and Proficient and** Novice **Proficient** Distinguished **Tested Apprentice** Distinquished Distinguished Distinguished **Students** Students **Students** Students **Students** 2009-2010 100 74 70.14 70.42 2 24 39 35 All Students 24 2010-2011 100 7 40 29 69.66 74.13 70.53 2009-2010 44.74 African-American 2010-2011 54.55 44.13 2009-2010 71.6 American Indian/ Native Alaskan 2010-2011 61.29 2009-2010 74.74 Asian/Pacific Islander 2010-2011 73.22 2009-2010 59.69 Hispanic 2010-2011 70.59 59.75 ----2009-2010 100 75.51 71.3 74.35 2 22 40 36 White 2010-2011 100 7 70.45 75.64 74.71 23 41 30 2009-2010 100 56.25 53.33 53.12 6 38 25 Students with 31 Disabilities 2010-2011 100 10 70 62.07 50.26 20 40 30 Limited English 2009-2010 45.46 **Proficiency** 2010-2011 40.75 2009-2010 100 62.18 61.69 Economically 3 27 44 26 70.13 Disadvantaged 2010-2011 100 10 27 43 21 63.49 63.27 61.53 2009-2010 50.68 Migrant 2010-2011 54 2009-2010 100 2 25 73.21 68.28 71.04 41 32 Male 2010-2011 100 7 18 75 78.11 70.94 36 39 2009-2010 100 75 72.33 69.76 2 23 36 39 Female 2010-2011 100 7 29 44 20 64.44 70.29 70.1

2010-2011 **School Assessment** Grade 5 - Social Studies **School Percent School Percent State Percent District Percent Student Group School Year Proficient and Proficient and Proficient and Proficient** Distinguished **Tested** Novice **Apprentice** Distinquished Distinguished Distinguished **Students Students Students** Students **Students** 2009-2010 100 46.96 55.49 55.59 42 11 40 7 All Students 2010-2011 100 17 39 36 9 44.95 61.82 59.76 2009-2010 33.71 ------African-American 2010-2011 37.12 2009-2010 45.31 American Indian/ Native Alaskan 2010-2011 56.47 2009-2010 66.78 Asian/Pacific Islander 2010-2011 68.22 2009-2010 44.28 Hispanic 2010-2011 66.67 48.95 2009-2010 100 56.2 58.84 12 7 47.79 41 41 White 2010-2011 100 16 38 9 45.79 62.2 63.23 36 2009-2010 100 38.46 35.7 32 5 15.79 Students with 53 11 Disabilities 2010-2011 100 36 9.09 45.71 38.18 55 0 9 Limited English 2009-2010 26.91 **Proficiency** 2010-2011 29.41 2009-2010 100 44.71 Economically 15 42 40 2 42.35 43.87 Disadvantaged 2010-2011 100 19 44 30 7 36.9 51.23 48.96 2009-2010 43.26 Migrant 2010-2011 37.18 --2009-2010 100 10 39 47 5 51.61 56.25 56.55 Male 2010-2011 100 6 40.32 62.3 59.54 18 42 34 2009-2010 100 54.65 54.58 9 41.51 13 45 32 Female 2010-2011 100 15 34 38 13 51.06 61.25 59.99

Grade 5 - Writing On-Demand 2010-2011 **School Assessment School Percent School Percent State Percent District Percent Student Group School Year Proficient and Proficient and Proficient and Proficient** Distinguished **Tested** Novice **Apprentice** Distinquished Distinguished Distinguished **Students Students Students** Students **Students** 2009-2010 100 57.39 63.74 59.08 3 7 39 50 All Students 2010-2011 100 6 33 50 12 61.47 69.8 59.74 2009-2010 45.47 --African-American 2010-2011 43.63 2009-2010 53.13 American Indian/ Native Alaskan 2010-2011 55.29 2009-2010 70.3 Asian/Pacific Islander 2010-2011 75.42 2009-2010 51.57 Hispanic 2010-2011 83.33 52.93 2009-2010 100 63.98 61.05 3 40 7 57.52 50 White 2010-2011 100 5 12 62.62 69.82 62.02 33 50 2009-2010 100 10.53 35.9 34.13 5 5 Students with 16 74 Disabilities 2010-2011 100 27.27 37.14 33.56 36 36 27 0 Limited English 2009-2010 34.66 **Proficiency** 2010-2011 34.73 2009-2010 49.94 Economically 100 5 42 49 4 52.94 54.72 Disadvantaged 2010-2011 100 6 38 45 11 55.95 64.53 50.3 2009-2010 39.33 Migrant 2010-2011 42.31 --2009-2010 100 3 48 40 8 48.39 51.04 49.96 Male 2010-2011 100 5 5 53.23 60.73 50.59 42 48 2009-2010 100 77.91 68.72 6 67.92 4 28 62 Female 2010-2011 100 6 21 51 21 72.34 80.63 69.46

Norm-Referenced Test: The ITBS scores are not part of state or federal accountability. The assessment is for diagnostic purposes only. The school and district scores are reported publicly using national percentiles (Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score: National Student Norms). Each national percentile shows a student's performance compared to other students who took the assessment at the same grade level and same time period—fall, mid-spring, or spring as compared to the 2005 norming group.

Norm-Referenced Test (NRT)				ı	lowa Tests of Basic Skills				
	Scores Reported in National Percentiles								
	Reading					Mathematics			
Grade	School	District	State		Grade	School	District	State	
3	63	64	61		3	59	60	61	
4	55	60	57		4	49	58	57	
5	49	59	54		5	44	53	54	
6		46	47		6		49	45	
7		44	51		7		42	48	

Other Measures: The third component of the Kentucky Testing System is our school's performance in attendance, retention*, dropout rate, and for successful transition to adult life. Data in these tables reflect our performance during the 2009-2010 school year.

	Attendance Rate	Retention Rate
School	93.3%	0.0%
District	93.1%	1.4%
State	94.1%	2.4%

NAEP: The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is also known as "the Nation's Report Card". NAEP has been conducting assessments since 1969 and is the only national assessment of what "America's students know and can do" in various subject areas. NAEP does not report scores for individual students or schools. NAEP bases its results on a sample of students and provides data at the state and national level.

NAEP Achievement Level Percentages								
Grade 4	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced				
Reading	32%	35%	25%	8%				
Mathematics	21%	49%	27%	3%				

NAEP Participation Rates								
Grade 4	Students with Disabilities	English Language Learners						
Reading	52%	54%						
Mathematics	42%	65%						

^{*} The percent of students who had to repeat the grade.

School Safety: Here is what we are doing to make our school safe for our students.

Our Learning Environment

Visitors are	All Parents Received the	% Classrooms with
Required to Sign in	District Discipline Code	Outside Line
Y	Υ	100%

Violation	# of Reported Incidents	# of Students Suspended	# of Students Expelled
1st Degree Assault	0	0	0
Drug Violation	0	0	0
Weapons Violations	0	0	0

Procedures in Place In Our School for Drug and Weapons Detection:

Camargo considers safety to be a top priority. Doors remain locked and visitors enter and sign in through the main office. Video surveillance is in place. Staff are trained and provided an Emergency Response Guide. Drills are con- ducted regularly to be prepared in the event of an emergency. We rely on all stakeholders to report any suspicious activity which is investigated immediately. Parents and law enforcement officers are notified if deemed necessary. A teacher or other school personnel who knows or has reason to believe drugs or weapons may be present in the school building shall notify the principal or designee who will contact the proper authorities. The principal will iso;late the individual(s) until the officials arrive.

Student Resources

	Spending per Student	Student/ Teacher Ratio	% Computers 5 Years Old or Less	Students per Internet Connected Computer
Our School	\$6,601	15.0:1	91.8%	2.5:1
District	\$8,704	15.0:1	85.5%	2.3:1
State	\$10,864	16.0:1	77.4%	2.4:1

How We Use Technology To Teach:

All students use the computer lab weekly. Accelerated Reader is available for all students. Accelerated Grammar and Spelling and Study island are used in Grades 3-5. Accelerated Math and Math Facts in a Flash supplement math instruction. All classrooms are intelligent classrooms making use of document cameras, airliners/projectors, audio enhancement, and grades 3-5 have CPS systems. Humanities art classes make use of computer programs to produce computer art, animation, and digital stories. Star Reading and Star Math are utilized to assess students as well as other research programs.

Parental Involvement

	# of Students Whose Parent/Guardian Had at Least One Teacher Conference	# of Parents/Guardians Voting in School Council (SBDM) Elections	# of Parents/Guardians Serving on the School Council (SBDM) or its Committees	# of Volunteer Hours
Our School	300	20	2	6,743

Teacher Qualifications

The preparation and experience of our teachers also is important to our success. These numbers do not include administrators, guidance counselors, or library media specialists. Upon request, our district will provide information about the qualifications of your child's teachers and teachers' aides.

	School	District	State
% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Certification	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
% of Classes Taught by Teachers who Participated in Content-Focused Professional Development	100.0%	100.0%	NA
% of Core Academic Subject Classes NOT Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Average Years of Teaching Experience	11.8	11.4	11.7
Number of Teachers certified by the National Board for Professional Standards	5	16	1,987

	B.A./	M.A./	Rank	Spec-	Ph.D/	Total
	B.S.	M.S.	I	ialist	Ed.D.	Teachers
Professional Qualifications of all Teachers in the School	18.9%	50.9%	30.2%	0.0%	0.0%	100%

Other Important Information About Our School

State Contest Results: Camargo takes great pride in the accomplishments of our students. The STLP Team had several entries in state competition. Several students competed at the Kentucky State Fair in 4-H.

Extracurricular Activities: CES offers a variety of activities to encourage student participation. These include: Student Technology Leadership Program (STLP), Academic Team, Quick Recall Team, Future Problem Solving Team, Orchestra, Big Brothers/Big Sisters, Con Brio, Student Council, and Jr. Beta Club

Awards & Recognitions: CES has fielded extremely competitive and well-coached Academic Team, Future Problem Solving Team and Student Technology Leadership Programs. At the state level, our STLP won the Best School Project. Locally, the Humanities Art Class was recognized as the winning banner to display at the KSBA Conference.

What We Are Doing To Improve: Each year CES analyzes test data scores and develops specific plans to implement Common Assessments, Formative Assessments and Data Notebooks. Our primary goals are to improve student achievement and to be one of the top 10 performing schools in Kentucky. Staff members have participated in many professional development activities and have been involved in job embedded trainings. Formative assessments are given district wide, scored and returned to students.

For Further Information: To locate further data concerning this school and the district, please go to the Kentucky Department of Education homepage at http://www.education.ky.gov, and select School Report Card from the blue navigation bar at the left of the screen. Next, select Expanded Data and you will see a selection of reports offering a wealth of detail about the school and district and their programs. For even more information we suggest that you visit the school or district office and talk with the staff and faculty.



